U.S. Patent Application No.: 10/812,065

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the rejection

under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, in view of the above clarifying/corrective

amendments; corresponding amendments have been made to the text in the specification.

Applicant cancels without prejudice claim 1, thereby rendering moot the rejection under

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) based on anticipation by Todoki '626.

Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of claims 2 and 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as

being unpatentable (obvious) over Todoki in view of Atsumi '569.

On pages 2 and 3 of the specification, Applicant describes, and discusses the

disadvantages of, the prior art one-way clutches, torque limiters of the so-called magnetic

particle type and solenoid-operated clutch mechanisms.

A primary novel and non-obvious feature of Applicant's invention is the friction clutch

(frictional connection unit 66) as illustrated primarily in Applicant's Figs. 6, 7, 9 and 10 and

described in Applicant's specification at pages 13-14 and 21-24. As explained in the

specification, the claimed "frictional connection unit" has fewer parts, and is far less costly, than

the prior art torque.

Todoki only briefly mentions (column 5, lines 37-53), and only schematically illustrates

(Figs. 3 and 4), a torque limiter 28 of "the so-called magnet particle type".

Thus, notwithstanding the Examiner's assertion to the contrary on page 3 of the Office

Action, Todoki does not disclose, or suggest, "a frictional connection unit for firmly coupling

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q80615

U.S. Patent Application No.: 10/812,065

said drive roller and said drive shaft with frictional force." Furthermore, and again notwithstanding the Examiner's assertion to the contrary, Todoki's "roller [24] is [not] frictionally held on the shaft 27" but, rather, "the drive rollers 24 are mounted on respective

--

With respect to the rejection of claim 2, the Examiner admits that "Todoki does not disclose a frictional connection unit which further comprises: a fixing member fixed to said drive shaft; a friction member for contacting an end surface of said drive roller; and a biasing member provided between said fixing member and said friction member, said biasing member

pressing said friction member to said end surface of said drive roller."

roller shafts 27" which in turn are supported on a common drive shaft 26.

Then, on page 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner asserts that Atsumi discloses a frictional connection unit including each of the elements admittedly missing from Todoki. However, Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner errs in his analysis of Atsumi, at least in the fact that Atsumi does **not** disclose or teach at least Applicant's claimed "friction member [74] for frictionally contacting an end surface of said drive roller" and/or "a biasing member provided between said fixing member and said friction member, said biasing member pressing said friction member against, and into frictional contact with, said end surface of said drive roller." Rather, Atsumi's element 74 is only a "holder 74 [which] is rotatably fixed on a shaft 73 . . . ", **not** "a friction member (74) for contacting an end surface of said drive roller" as asserted by the Examiner.

Furthermore, Atsumi's "biasing member (TL)" (the Examiner's words) does **not** press "said friction member against, and into frictional contact with, said end surface of said drive roller", as required by Applicant's claim 2 but, rather, is merely a spring TL which "is pressed in

contact and wound around boss sections 74a, 75a of the holders 74 and 75".

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the

rejection of claim 2.

For the rejection of claim 3, the Examiner merely states that "Atsumi discloses a coil

spring (TL)".

Applicant's claim 3 further defines the "biasing member" of claim 2 as "a coil spring

having a first end and a second end [wherein], said first end is fixed to said fixing member, and

said second end is fixed to said friction member." Again, Atsumi's coil spring TL is clearly not

"a biasing member pressing said friction member against, and into frictional contact with, said

end surface of said drive roller".

Thus, Applicant also respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the

rejection of claim 3.

New claim 10 further restricts the location of the "friction member" of claim 2. Although

the Examiner asserts that the element 74 of Atsumi '569 corresponds to the "friction member" of

Applicant's invention, Applicant respectfully submits that Atsumi's element 74 is a holder for

holding the roller 76, and that it has contact with surfaces other than the end surface of the roller

56.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q80615

U.S. Patent Application No.: 10/812,065

Applicant notes the allowability of dependent claims 4-9 if they are rewritten in

independent form and amended to overcome the rejection of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph;

however, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to hold in abeyance the rewriting of these

claims until the Examiner has had an opportunity to reconsider (and withdraw) the rejection of

claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

In summary, then, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider and

withdraw the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 102(b) and 103(a), to find the application to be in

condition for allowance for claims 2-10; however, if for any reason the Examiner feels that the

application is not now in condition for allowance, he is respectfully requested to call the

undersigned attorney to discuss any unresolved issues and to expedite the disposition of the

application.

Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain

the pendency of this application, and any required fee for such extension is to be charged to

Deposit Account No. 19-4880. The Commissioner is also authorized to charge any additional fees

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q80615 U.S. Patent Application No.: 10/812,065

under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 and/or § 1.17 necessary to keep this application pending in the Patent and Trademark Office or credit any overpayment to said Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

/John H. Mion/ John H. Mion Registration No. 18,879

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-3213 (202) 663-7901

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373

Date: August 7, 2006